

# The Adrian Empire

Defendant	<b>Sir Gwyllum ap Dudrane (Aragon)</b>
Charges brought by	Dame Charicce (Aragon)
Original charges filed	May 18, 2002
Magistrate	N/A
Claimant Advocate	Dame Marcella Visconti (Terre Neuve)
Defense Advocate	N/A
Charge: Malfeasance of Office	Dismissed
Charge: Malfeasance of Office	Dismissed
Charge: Malfeasance of Office	Dismissed
Charge: Conduct Unbecoming	Dismissed
Recommended sentence	N/A
Imperial Crown review	N/A
Final sentence	N/A
Notes	Presentation of prima facie case was not done
Original charges	Page 2
Presentation of prima facie	Page 3
Chancery findings	Page 4

# The Adrian Empire

## CALENDAR

**March 12, 2002:** the allegations were sent to the Imperial Justicar Sir Magus and HIM Dame Maedb.

**March 13, 2002:** they were forwarded to the Chancery. On April 3, 2002 the Justicar notified Dame Charicce the matter had been sent to the Chancery; the Chancery requested presentation of a prima facie case and made specific comments regarding each allegation.

**April 18, 2002:** an extension was requested by Dame Marcella on behalf of Dame Charicce. the extension was granted.

**April 28, 2002:** Dame Marcella submitted revised allegations and evidence, including a recorded excerpt.

**May 3, 2002:** the Chancery requested the complete recording.

**June 21, 2002:** the Chancery notified Dame Marcella, citing IEW 12, that the allegations were not found legitimate nor warranting trial. After extensive review and upon direct consultation with TIMs the burden of providing a prima facie case was not met. The evidence offered did not address the original charges that were timely filed. The revised filing introduced a new allegation well beyond the statute of limitations and failed to substantiate the original allegations.

## ORIGINAL CHARGES

Be it known by my hand, this 4th day of March, 2002, I, Dame Charicce, Knight minister of the Kingdom of Aragon do hereby charge HRM Sir Gwyllum ap Du Drane with malfeasance of office. While going through the bylaws on March 1st, 2002, to make sure that our codicils would not be in violation, I discovered the following offenses in violation of the Imperial Bylaws:

Under Article VII ministries section F: Chancellor-The Chancellor shall be the leader of the estates, including the estates general. The Chancellor shall be responsible for summoning and chairing all meetings and preparing the agenda. In the event of a tie vote, the chancellor shall cast the deciding vote. This is the only time the chancellor shall be allowed to vote. The Chancellor shall sign resolutions and scrolls on behalf of the Estates General.

\*REQUIREMENTS-Imperial Knight Minister or Higher

Chartered Subdivision 5 months past rector (equaling 8 months of points in ministry) participate in 2 demos and initiate and organize 1 demo/service event.

Under Article VII B-Ministerial duties-ALL Ministers serve at the pleasure of the crown and are responsible directly to the crown for the execution of their duties. ALL Ministers shall submit regular reports to their senior counterparts and carry out the duties and responsibilities of the ministries which they head. To this end they are authorized to appoint deputies within their ministries , subject to approval of the Crown.

### OFFENCE #1

The Current Chancellor, which I discovered March 1, 2002, at the time of appointment in Nov. 2001 was not qualified, as set in requirements to hold that position. It was the responsibility of the Crown to verify the Qualifications of said minister before appointing Sir Damon ap Du Drane, M.K.A. Mike Challano to the office of Chancellor.

### OFFENCE #2

As the Crown is responsible for his Ministers I am charging HRM Sir Gwyllum ap Du Drane with "covering up charges" on his mundane wife. It came to my attention on Feb. 28, 2002 that charges had been filed on Dame Aishlynnne, M.K.A. Andey Westfall-Lounds in Nov. 2001 and as of yet have not been answered to in any Court.

# The Adrian Empire

## OFFENCE #3

The Royal Crown shall be responsible for the administration of the Kingdom. ARTICLE VIII Section D 3 iii, which as a Knight and member of his populace I feel he has failed to do.

## OFFENCE # 4

Conduct Unbecoming a Knight ARTICLE 1 General Section B CORE VALUES Integrity, Fairness, Loyalty, Respect. HRM Sir Gwyllum ap Du Drane did at the Feb. Estates meeting Vote as a K2, before verifying with Rolls and Lists that he had actually earned said points to obtain 2nd level.

Again I send the to you as I feel you will handle the matter in the most appropriate and timely manner.

Yours in Service,  
Lady Dame Charicce  
M.K.A. Ruth Heines

## PRESENTATION OF PRIMA FACIE

This document is respectfully submitted and written by Dame Marcella mka Lucille Garcia based upon the opinions and beliefs of Dame Charrice mka Ruth Heines.

“It is with great sorrow that I write this day, due to my oath to dispense justice, I, a Knight of Adria, believe that it is my duty to bring charges of Violation of the Core Values upon Sir Gwyllum ap Du Drane.

As a knight I believe the Core Values of the Adrian Empire should be the values that guide all members.

These Core Values include:

- Integrity
- Loyalty
- Fairness
- Honesty

Whether with intent or not Sir Gwyllum did not follow through on his agreement he made to avoid a Kingdom Civil War with the Civil War challengers, Dame Aleighya and Sir Eduardo. He was remiss in serving the populace with due diligence and did not make a good faith effort to keep his agreements.

The Civil War challenge was successfully averted in part due to Sir Gwyllum's agreement to step down immediately following Aragon's Crown War, March 9, 2002 and allow the winners of the said war to have a field coronation at closing court.

Sir Gwyllum, for whatever reason, backed out of his agreement thereby completely disregarding, without explanation in speech or writing, his actions and behavior to those who supported avoiding Civil War based upon his agreement to step down at Crown War.

To further exacerbate the issue there was no statement from Sir Gwyllum explaining why he did not step down as he had originally agreed to do.

Any seated Crown may step down whenever they so choose and this was Sir Gwyllum's right to do on March 9, 2002. He did not do so, despite initiating an agreement wherein he stated that he would do so.

Since he did not do so nor did he explain why not; it appears did not follow through on his agreement.

In the voice tapes provided, Sir Gwyllum not only broaches the subject by offering a field coronation to the Civil War Challengers he actually discusses specific logistical details and explains the responsibilities thereof to the incoming Crowns.

This appears to indicate that he had every intention of keeping good his offer to allow a field Coronation, for the heirs immediately following war, by stepping down on March 9, 2002.

# The Adrian Empire

Had the challengers disregarded Sir Gwyllum's good faith offer, to avert Civil war by making an agreement to step down, the Kingdom of Aragon would have suffered the irreparable damage inflicted by Civil War.

This offer while made in good faith was not met with due diligence by Sir Gwyllum while the Challengers took to heart his offer and withdrew their challenge, thereby avoiding the damage and hard feelings inherent in a Civil war taking place.

This lack of due diligence and lack of a good faith effort on the part of Sir Gwyllum leads me to request that reparation to the populace, the Royal Estates and the Chivalry of Aragon be made."

Respectfully Submitted

April 28, 2002

Dame Marcella

For

Dame Charrice

## CHANCERY FINDINGS

Greetings YG Dame Marcella,

As you are acting as Dame Charicce's representative in this matter I am contacting you directly. The Chancery under authority in Imperial Estates Writ 12 does not find these charges legitimate nor warranting trial. After extensive review and upon direct consultation with Their Imperial Majesties the burden of providing a prima facie case was not met. The evidence offered did not address the original charges that were timely filed. This offer introduced a new allegation well beyond the statute of limitations and failed to substantiate the original allegations.

We do not address: evidentiary issues raised by surreptitious tape recording; offering excerpts; whether transcripts would be required; whether the alleged agreement was actually made (outside the bounds of the written agreement signed and subsequently published); nor what other agreements may have been made by all parties in that meeting; nor their enforceability; nor standing issues RE: real parties in interest.

In Service,

Sir William Baine

Chancellor, Adria

June 21, 2002