

The Adrian Empire

Defendant	Sir Jamie the Red (as Lord Protector of Esperance)
Charges brought by	Katherine McGuire (Esperance)
Original charges filed	December 1, 2002
Trial held	N/A
Magistrate	N/A
Prosecutor	N/A
Defense Advocate	Sir Patrick Gullidge (Esperance)
Judges	N/A
Charge: Malfeasance/Misfeasance	Dismissed
Charge: Malfeasance/Misfeasance	Dismissed
Charge: Malfeasance/Misfeasance	Dismissed
Charge: Malfeasance/Misfeasance	Dismissed
Charge: Malfeasance/Misfeasance	Dismissed
Charge: Malfeasance/Misfeasance	Dismissed
Charge: Malfeasance/Misfeasance	Dismissed
Charge: Malfeasance/Misfeasance	Dismissed
Charge: Malfeasance/Misfeasance	Dismissed
Charge: Malfeasance/Misfeasance	Dismissed
Conduct Unbecoming a Knight	Dismissed
Recommended sentence	N/A
Imperial Crown review	N/A
Final sentence	N/A
Notes	These were counter charges, line for line, to a case filed by Sir Jamie the Red against Katherine McGuire. The charges were presented in handwritten form, and were difficult to transcribe.
Original charges	Page 2
Chancery findings	Page 3

The Adrian Empire

CALENDAR

December 1, 2001: Charges files with local Chancellor.

March 8, 2002: The Imperial Chancery requested presentation of a prima facie case.

March 24, 2002: An extension was requested by Sir Patrick. The extension was granted on April 4, 2002.

May 3, 2002: Sir Patrick was advised that the extension was exhausted, and having failed to present a prima facie case, the allegations against Sir Jamie the Red were rejected.

May 4, 2002: Sir Patrick requested another extension; this was not granted.

ORIGINAL CHARGES

I Dame Katherine McGuire (AKA Patricia Fee) wish to file charges again [sic] Sir Jamie the Red L.P. (Lord Protector) of Esperance in counter charges to charges filed against me on November 13, 2001.

CHARGE 1 AND 2 AS APPEARS ON PAGE 1 OF FILED CHARGES

contains misinformation, disinformation, and falsehoods resulting in a misrepresentation of the circumstances. Since this represents an official statement on his part that results in harm to others, this constitutes malfeasance and or missfeaseane of office. There is an e-mail record in my possetor to back-up my statements as stated on page 1 of said document.

CHARGE 3: AS STATED ON PAGE 1 AND 2

constutes malfeasine and missfeance and falsehoods. It is also based on projection of conjecture. However that the event dxy amoured [illegible] and publicised . It was a finance loss to the kingdom of Esperance, because of lac of attendence that has little to do with the presence or absence of documents in the warden's box. In additions I was exposy dirfed [illegible] the Sheep's War renamy directs [illegible], by the proposed autocrats who said that it was their demo and they would "take care" of it. Claim that the failure of the Sheep's War was the fault of the Warden is missrespresntat of the facts and so constituted malfeasance or and missfeasen of office.

CHARGE 4: CROWN WAR AS STATED ON PAGE 2.

Sir Jamie the Red (L.P.) was aware that there was a problem with Prado Park as early as June 2001, due to the problem of occxxx [illegible] with obtain Prado as a sub [illegible] for the Hedgehog Pas d'Armes. Upon contacting Prado Park, every time contact was allupt [sic] there were contacts with multiple persons untill arrival at a pison [sic] who "kept the sululbdbookip" [illegible] was reach. Each time contact was made no knowledge of pervous [sic] contacts by Esperance ripustxx [illegible] were addicted [sic], this could be attributed to different persons conductxx the xxxxx [illegible] each time. This charges [sic] is the result of Sir Jamie the Red, as Lord Protector, not assisting a Minister with a function of the office were help was requested this results in Malfeasine [sic] or missfeaseance [sic] of office.

CHARGE 5: AS STATES ON PAGE 2.

This statement is again incomplete and a misrepresentation [sic]. Only photo copies of demo lists from the Corona Faire and xxxx where I autocided were present in the Warden's box. No originals. The originals were stated to have been fiven to the minister of rolls. The copies were kept for personal records, to be compared with the M.O.R. as a back up for personal records of points ect. On the other statements that lists (combatect ect) these were copies also, I was never asked for those of March and July, and did no surrender them as they were in the box. These statements are missxxxxxx and attempts to disinform as so result in the charge of malfeance and or missfeasxxx of office.

CHARGE 6: AS APPEARS ON PAGE 2

This is a missrespresntab of the facts, and is a flose statement, makes the statement officialy is malfeasxx of office and and making the statent publicly is missifusice of office.

CHARGE 7: ASPPEARS ON PAGE 1

Contains missupucxxx and falsities. The docuxxx was reland by the autocrat. When I asked Sir Jamie the Red (L.P.) what I should do about it he said that was how it happened last year. Truyn to manipulate the facts so

The Adrian Empire

that the events were misslald and confused as to who had the docunts ergo who was responsible is malfeance and or misafeasnce of office.

CHARGE 8: AS APPEARS ON 3 OF THE CHARGES

These are missrepensilches and false hoods - Only one person was even told by me that I did not have the forms, a pieve of notbook paper was sulsideld (as sugested by Sir Jamie the Red (L.P.)) and was accepted by the demo planner and approved and signed by Sir Jamie the Red (L.P.) The forms were available on line and I was available to everyone either by phone or e-mail, as regards to the process and info required for demo documentation. This constuls a missupeuntatxx of the facts and results in malfeacene and or misfesice of office.

CHARGE 9 AS APPEARS ON PAGE 3 OF THE CHARGE ECT.

Royal conoxxxx:

page of notes as to the possible sites was left in the warden's box for the new warden. This offured on Sept 1, 2001 with the coronxx schidle for Dec 1 2001. The resulting two months in which to secure a site. This is missrepxxx of the facts as on Sept 1 it no longer was my job. This results in a charge of malfrosure and or missfexxx of office.

Sir Jame the Red (L.P.) charges of regebst of official duties is an attempt to slandar and manipat appears of others.

This gratitxxxx statement of appxxxx is a diffxxxx unsxxxxxx it is an act of dishonor. Also a act of missfeasince of office.

His naming all the charges as "fact", while repeated using missinformation, misstatements and decptxxxx is repxxxxble.

His word is his bond, so itue for I must charge him with conduct unbecoming as Knight as it amounts to defamation of character, which is a actionable mundane charge. I am consider filing on this.

This I do formal charge Sir Jamie the Red, Lord Protector of Esperance (AKA Steve Eldredge) of the crims of malfeasance and missfeasance of office.

Dame Katherine McGuire (AKA) Patricia Fee

CHANCERY FINDINGS

Unto HE Sir Patrick,

I must advise you that having exhausted your extension and having failed to present a prima facie case, we must reject Dame Katherine McGuire's allegations against Sir Jamie the Red.

As you were acting as Dame Katherine's advocate, and sent the request, I responded to you and asked that you inform Dame Katherine. You were asked to present your prima facie case or cause for delay. You provided the later and asked for a one week extension which was granted. We received no further information.

In Service,
Sir William Baine,
Chancellor, Adria
5/3/02